



UNIVERSITY OF OSTRAVA FACULTY OF ARTS

Specification of Tenses in Tichý's Transparent Intensional Logic and Prior's Temporal Logic

Zuzana Rybaříková









the structure of the presentation

- 1. specification of tenses in Tichý's TIL
- 2. specification of tenses in Prior's Hybrid Temporal Logic
- 3. comparison of Tichý and Prior's approach



specification of tenses in Tichý's TIL

- 'The Logic of Temporal Discourse' (1980)
- Tichý in his paper argued that logics of tenses were still at the starting line of their development
 - 1. the systems of logic that dealt with tenses lacked, an adequate theory of truthconditions for temporal propositions
 - 2. the logical type of these propositions was not satisfactorily defined
 - 3. temporal operators, e.g., 'P' were not sufficient to differentiate between semantical differences in various tenses in English, as e.g., the Past Simple and the Present Perfect
 - Nick was happy.
 - Nick has been happy.



Transparent Intensional Logic

- *universes of discourses* are collections of individuals, and *intensional bases*, which are collections of attributes that individuals could obtain
- universe of discourse and intensional base form *epistemic framework* on which the language is built
- the distribution of attributes could change in time, hence the obtaining and losing of attributes creates different *histories*
- the possession of different attributes of objects creates different possible worlds



Transparent Intensional Logic

- TIL is a typed calculus, it constructs elaborate objects from the basic ones
- There are four basic types of objects in TIL:
 - the class of truth-values 'o', which contains two elements truth and falsehood
 - $-\,$ the universe of discourse 'ı', which is the class that contains individuals
 - $-\,$ the logical space ' ω ', which is the class that contains possible worlds
 - the time-scale ' τ ' that contains moments of time, as the moments could be represented by real numbers, ' τ ' is a class of real numbers



Transparent Intensional Logic

- propositions are objects of type '($\sigma\tau$) ω '
- the proposition: Nick is happy.
- represents the construction: λwλt·[H_{wt}]X
- 'X' stands for 'Nick', 'H' for 'happiness' and the operators and variables are of the type: w/ ω , t/ τ , H/(((oi) τ) ω), X/i.



• definition of the operator for the Past Simple 'P':

•
$$P_t sc \Leftrightarrow_{df} (ii) \cdot (\exists t_i) [ct_i \land \cdot t_i < t] \land i = \cdot s\lambda t_i \cdot ct_1 \land \cdot t_1 < t$$

- it corresponds with the truth conditions for the propositions in the Past Simple
- its type in TIL is (ο(ο(οτ))(οτ))τ



• Nick was happy on Christmas Eve 2021. – $\lambda w \lambda t \cdot P_t[Onc_w \lambda w \lambda t H_w X] \lambda t \cdot t = T^0$

- Nick was happy throughout 2019.
 - $\lambda w \lambda t \cdot P_t$ [Thr_w $\lambda w \lambda t H_{wt}$ X] C²⁰¹⁹

Nick was happy when Bill was sad.
 λw λt·P_t[Onc_w λw λt·H_{wt}X] λt₁·P_t[Onc_w λw λt S_{wt}Y]{t₁}



 the definition of the operator 'Pf' for the Present Perfect is:

 $- \mathsf{Pf}_{\mathsf{t}}\mathsf{sc} \Leftrightarrow_{\mathsf{df}} (\mathfrak{i}) \cdot (\exists t_{\mathsf{l}}) [\lambda t_2 \cdot t_{\mathsf{l}} < t_2 \le t] \subset \mathsf{c} \land \mathsf{i} = \cdot \mathsf{s} \lambda t_2 \cdot \mathsf{c} t_2 \land \cdot t_2 \le t.$

- it also corresponds with the truth conditions for the propositions in the Present Perfect
- the operator 'Pf' is of the same type as the operator 'P',
 i.e. (o(o(oτ))(oτ))τ



Nick was happy ever since 2022.
 – λwλt·Pf_t[Thr_w λwλt H_{wt}X] λt·AfttC²⁰²²

- Nick was not happy since Christmas Eve in 2021.
 - λwλt Pf_t [Thr_w λwλt H_{wt}X] λt·Aft $t = T^{\circ}$



- Prior sparsely discussed specification of tenses in his work
- development of the system was not driven by Prior's aim to specify tenses
- introducing hybrid logic Prior concerns metaphysical issues
 - presentism
 - nominalism



A-series

- unary operators P, F, G, H
- variables *p*, *q*, *r*,... stand for propositions
- Pp It was the case that p

B-series

- binary operator 'U'
- variables *a*, *b*, *c*, ... stand for time instants (or possible worlds)
- Uab the time instant *a* is earlier that the time instant *b*



- Prior linked the systems with a binary operator T that is defined as:
 Uab ⇔_{df} TbPa
- Ta(p) it is the case at the instant *a* that *p*'
- variables a, b, c... are understood as instant propositions, they represent a cojunct of propositions that is true in precisely one instant of time
 - counterpart of nominals in contemporary hybrid logic
- Prior derived them from Meredith's constant *n* for contingent truth



Prior also replaced variables for instant propositions with operator 'Q'

$$- Qp \Leftrightarrow_{df} \Diamond p \land \forall q [\mathbb{H}(p \to q) \lor \mathbb{H}(p \to \neg q)]$$

- the constant *n* corresponds Wp and is defined as:
 - $\quad Wp \Leftrightarrow_{\mathsf{df}} p \land Qp$
 - $Wp \Leftrightarrow_{df} p \land \forall q \ [q \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(p \rightarrow q)]$



•Nick was happy on Christmas Eve 2021. - $P(a \land p)$

•Nick was happy throughout 2019.

• $P(a \land p) \land P(b \land \neg p) \land \forall c[(TaFc \land TcFb) \rightarrow (c \land p)]$

•Nick was happy when Bill was sad.

• $P(a \land p) \land P(a \land q)$



- Nick was happy ever since 2022. – $P(a \land p) \rightarrow \forall b[TaFb \rightarrow (b \land p)]$
- Nick was not happy since Christmas Eve in 2021. – $P(a \land p) \land \forall b[TaFb \rightarrow (b \land \neg p)]$



comparison of Tichý and Prior's approach

Prior

Tichý

Nick was happy on Christmas Eve 2021.

 $\lambda w \lambda t \cdot P_t[Onc_w \lambda w \lambda t H_w X] \lambda t \cdot t = T^0$

Nick was happy throughout 2019.

 $\lambda w \lambda t \cdot P_t[Thr_w \lambda w \lambda t H_{wt}X]C^{2019}$

Nick was happy ever since 2022.

 $\lambda w \ \lambda \underline{t} \cdot Pf_t[Thr_w \ \lambda w \ \lambda \underline{t} \ D_{wt}X] \ \lambda t \cdot Aft \underline{t} \underline{C}^{2022}$

Nick was happy on Christmas Eve 2021.

– P(a ∧ p)

Nick was happy throughout 2019.

- P(a ∧ p) ∧ P(b ∧ ¬p) ∧ \forall c[(TaFc ∧ TcFb) → (c ∧ p)]

Nick was happy ever since 2022.

 $- P(a \land p) \rightarrow \forall b[TaFb \rightarrow (b \land p)]$



comparison of Tichý and Prior's approach

Tichý

- Tichý's formalisation is more dependent on each natural language
- propositions do not have truthvalue if they do not fulfil presuppositions

Prior

- Prior aimed to develop a system that would be suitable for formalisation, regardless of the natural language
- Prior's systems are influenced by his metaphysical views



selected bibliography

•BLACKBURN, P., Arthur Prior and Hybrid Logic, Synthese 150: 329–372, 2006.

•BLACKBURN, P., and K. F. JØRGENSEN, Reichenbach, Prior and Hybrid Tense Logic, Synthese 193: 3677–3689, 2016.

•BÄUERLE, R., Tense Logics and Natural Language, *Synthese* 40: 225–230. 1979.

•DUŽÍ, Marie and P. MATERNA, TIL jako procedurální logika: Průvodce zvídavého čtenáře Transparentní intensionální logikou. Aleph, Bratislava, 2012.

•DUŽÍ, Marie, B. JESPERSEN and P. MATERNA, Procedural Semantics for Hyperintensional Logic. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

*HAMM, F. and O. BOTT, Tense and Aspect, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2018 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), Available at: https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/tense-aspect/. Accessed: (04. 08. 2021).

•INDRZEJCZAK, A. Natural Deduction, Hybrid Systems and Modal Logics, Springer Verlag, Dordrecht, 2010.

*KOUKOLÍKOVÁ, J., *Temporální transparentní intenzionální logika v analýze přirozeného jazyka*, Ph.D. thesis, Czech Technical University Prague, 1988. Available at: https://nlp.fi.muni.cz/til_scan/view.cgi?doc=kouk-diser&str=1

*KUHN, S.T., and P. PORTNER, P., Tense and Time, in: D.M. Gabbay, F. Guenthner (eds.), *Handbook of Philosophical Logi*c, vol 7. Dordrecht, Springer 2002, pp. 277–346.

•MCTAGGART, J. M. E., The Unreality of Time, Mind 17: 457-474, 1908.

*MEREDITH, C.A., and A.N. PRIOR, *Computations and Speculations*, unpublished manuscript stored in Prior's Nachlass at the Bodleian Library in Oxford, Box 8, 1962.

*ØHRSTRØM, P. and P. V. F. HASLE, Temporal Logic: From Ancient Ideas to Artificial Intelligence. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1995.



selected bibliography

*ØHRSTRØM, P., Two Essays on Temporal Realism: Introduction, in B. Jack Copeland, (ed.), *Logic and Reality: Essays on the Legacy of Arthur Prior*. Oxford: Clarendon Press 1996. pp. 43–44.

*QUINE, W. V. O., Three Grades of Modal Involvement, in W. V. O. Quine, *The Ways of Paradox and Other Essays*. New York: Random House 1966. pp. 156–174.

•PRIOR, A. N., Diodoran Modalities, *Philosophical Quarterly* 20: 205–213, 1955.

•PRIOR, A. N., *Time and Modality*, Oxford, Clarendon Press 1957.

•PRIOR, A. N., Possible Worlds. Philosophical Quarterly 46: 36-43, 1962.

•PRIOR, A. N., Past, Present and Future. Oxford, Clarendon Press 1967.

•PRIOR, A. N., Intentionality and Intensionality, *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supplementary Volumes*, Vol. 42 (1968), pp. 91–106.

•PRIOR, A. N., Tense Logic and the Logic of Earlier and Later., in P. Hasle, P. Øhrstrøm, T. Bräuner, and B. J. Copeland, (eds.). *Papers on Time and Tense*. Oxford, Oxford University Press 2003, pp. 117–138.

•PRIOR, A. N., Now. in P. Hasle, P. Øhrstrøm, T. Bräuner, and B. J. Copeland, (eds.). *Papers on Time and Tense*. Oxford, Oxford University Press 2003, pp. 171–193.

*PRIOR, A. N., Egocentric Logic, in P. Hasle, P. Øhrstrøm, T. Bräuner and B. J. Copeland, (eds.). *Papers on Time and Tense*. Oxford, Oxford University Press 2003, pp. 222–240.

•RYBAŘÍKOVÁ, Z. and P. HASLE, C. A. Meredith, A. N. Prior, and Possible Worlds. in P. Hasle, P. Blackburn, and P. Øhrstrøm, (eds.): Logic and Philosophy of Time: Themes from Prior. Aalborg, Aalborg University Press 2017, pp. 41–62.





Thank you for your attention!